Paper

# **Photoelectric measurements** of the local values of the effective contact potential difference in the MOS structure

Andrzej Kudła, Henryk Przewłocki, Danuta Brzezińska, and Lech Borowicz

Abstract—We have shown that using focused UV laser beam in photoelectric methods it is possible to measure local  $\phi_{MS}$  values over the gate area of a single MOS structure. The  $\phi_{MS}$  distribution is such that its values are highest far away from the gate edges regions, lower in the vicinity of gate edges and still lower in the vicinity of gate corners. Examples of measurement results and description of the measurement system are presented. The dependence of the  $\phi_{MS}$  value on the exposure time and the power density of UV light is discussed.

Keywords-MIS structure, photoelectrical methods, internal photoemission, contact potential difference.

### 1. Introduction

It is known that mechanical stress in the metal-oxidesemiconductor (MOS) system affects its electrical parameters (e.g., [1, 2]), and that its distribution under the gate of a MOS structure is not uniform [2-4]. Therefore one can expect that local values of MOS parameters in the vicinity of gate edges and are different from those measured far away from the edge. These expectations are confirmed by measurement results showing that the values of both effective contact potential difference (ECPD) [5], referred to as the  $\phi_{MS}$  factor, and flat band voltage  $V_{FB}$  depend on the perimeter-to-area ratio.

## 2. Theory

The contact potential difference in a metal-insulator-semiconductor (MIS) structure is defined by the following formula:

$$\phi_{MS} \stackrel{def}{=} \phi_M - \left( X + \frac{E_G}{2q} + \phi_F \right), \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi_M$  is the potential barrier height for internal photoemission from metal to dielectric, X is the electron affinity at the semiconductor – dielectric interface,  $E_G$  is the band gap energy, q is the electron charge and  $\phi_F$  is the Fermi level in the semiconductor.

The gate voltage  $V_G$  is divided between the individual regions of the MIS structure according to:

$$V_G = V_I + \phi_S + \phi_{MS} \,, \tag{2}$$

where  $V_I$  is the voltage drop across the dielectric and  $\phi_S$  is the surface potential in the semiconductor.

The voltage  $V_G^0$  corresponding to null photocurrent may be precisely determined using the photoelectric method [5]. When  $V_G^0$  is applied to the gate  $V_I$  is close to zero if the diffusion photocurrents from the substrate and gate are equal. Usually  $\phi_S$  may be calculated from *CV* characteristics; however, if the substrate is highly doped, the surface potential is close to zero, and  $V_G^0$  is equal to  $\phi_{MS}$ .

#### 3. Measurement setup

Traditionally a xenon lamp with monochromator is used as the source of UV radiation. In such conditions it is difficult to exceed 1 mW at  $\lambda = 244$  nm, simultaneously keeping the spot diameter below 1 mm and the band pass below 5 nm. Application of a laser as a UV source in photoelectric measurements of MIS structures has opened new possibilities. With UV power P = 100 mW at  $\lambda = 244$  nm it is possible to measure samples with gates exhibiting higher absorption (thicker or made of materials with higher extinction coefficient). Moreover, it is possible to focus UV light better and measure local internal photoemission. However, higher power densities create numerous phenomena that have to be taken into account, such as electron trapping in insulator or photoemission from the semiconductor conduction band.



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the system for laser-based photoelectric measurements.

A system for local  $\phi_{MS}$  photoelectrical measurement is shown in Fig. 1. In this system argon laser with a frequency doubler is used as a high-intensity UV-radiation source.





*Fig. 2.* Diagram of the optical subsystem: (a) with expander, Galileo configuration; (b) eye piece of the Kepler configuration with space filter.

To obtain a low diameter of the light spot a special optical subsystem has to be used (Fig. 2). One simple solution is the Galileo configuration that enables allows the spot diameter to remain in the range of 20  $\mu$ m. The Kepler configuration reduces the spot diameter below 1  $\mu$ m.

## 4. Experimental

Samples containing Al-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Si MOS structures were investigated. Highly doped n-type wafers with the orientation of (100) were used. The oxide thickness  $t_{ox}$  was 60 nm, while the aluminum gate thickness  $t_{Al}$  was either 35 nm or 400 nm.

Argon ion laser with a frequency doubler was used as a UV-radiation source with the wavelength of 244 nm. The UV light spot diameter was 20–40  $\mu$ m. The power of the UV beam at laser output was adjustable up to 100 mW.

#### 5. Results

Lateral distribution of the  $\phi_{MS}$  on MOS structure can be measured using high intensity, focused laser light (Fig. 3). It was found that the  $\phi_{MS}$  values were the highest far away from the gate-edge regions (e.g., in the middle of a square gate), lower in the vicinity of gate edges, and still lower in the vicinity of gate corners. This result observed directly in photoelectric measurements was confirmed indirectly by purely electrical measurements.

The values of the parameters depend also on the structure dimensions (Fig. 4).

The dependence of the  $\phi_{MS}$  on the structure size supports the assumption pointing mechanical stresses as the origin of the  $\phi_{MS}$  changes. At higher light-power densities electron trapping in SiO<sub>2</sub> and emission from the conduction band in the semiconductor should be considered.

The influence of UV power on  $V_G^0$  is visible in Fig. 5. With higher UV power  $V_G^0$  increases. This could be the effect of photoemission from the silicon conduction band, which decreases electron affinity X (see formula (1)). Other important phenomena are charge trapping in the SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, resulting in  $V_G^0$  increase, and resistance changes during measurements shown in Fig. 6.

Since the photocurrent decreased with increasing  $V_G^0$  during the measurements illustrated in Fig. 6, it is reasonable to assume that  $\phi_M$  has increased because electrons were trapped near the Al-SiO<sub>2</sub> interface. Electron trapping caused by UV radiation is a local property and it has



*Fig. 3.* The local  $V_G^0$  values measured along two directions on a single MOS structure with a square gate.



*Fig. 4.* The  $\phi_{MS}$  values measured on different-size structures (fabricated on the same wafer) at the structure center, at the center of one side and in the corner.



*Fig. 5. I-V* characteristics measured on a structure with  $t_{AI} = 35$  nm with UV power as a parameter.



*Fig. 6.* Changes of the electrical properties of a MOS structure  $(t_{A1} = 400 \text{ nm})$  during long photoelectric measurements (UV power= 30 mW): (a)  $V_G^0$  voltage and (b) structure resistivity.



*Fig.* 7. *I-V* characteristics of a MOS structure with  $t_{AI} = 35$  nm measured before and after 20 min illumination at P = 6 mW and compared with the measurements taken in the same place after a 20 min period without illumination and in the reference place (its distance from the test place is 0.1 mm).

a lasting effect on the *I-V* characteristics, as shown in Fig. 7. With the light spot diameter below 20  $\mu$ m and aluminum thickness of 35 nm electron trapping effects were observed down to UV power of approximately 500  $\mu$ W.

## 6. Conclusions

Application of a laser allows local MOS structure properties to be measured by means of photoelectric techniques.

The  $\phi_{MS}$  distribution over the square gate of a MOS structure is such, that its values are highest far away from the gate-edge regions, lower in the vicinity of gate edges, and still lower in the vicinity of gate corners.

Laser beam can cause electron trapping and emission from the conduction band of the semiconductor. These phenomena may be investigated in photoelectric measurements with a laser as a UV-radiation source.

Comparison of the physical properties of differently shaped structures could be misleading due to edge effects. Measuring local parameter values one can avoid averaging values over central and edge regions of the gate and thus enhance measurement accuracy.

#### References

- C. H. Bjorkman, J. T. Fitch, and G. Lucovsky, "Correlation between midgap interface state density and thickness-averaged oxide stress and strain at SiO<sub>2</sub> interfaces formed by thermal oxidation of Si", *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 56, pp. 1983–1985, 1990.
- [2] S. M. Hu, "Stress related problems in silicon technology", J. Appl. Phys., vol. 70, pp. R53–R80, 1991.
- [3] I. De Wolf, H. E. Maes, and S. K. Jones, "Stress measurements in silicon devices through Raman spectroscopy: bridging the gap between theory and experiment", *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 79, pp. 7148–7156, 1996.
- [4] K. F. Dombrowski, I. De Wolf, and B. Dietrich, "Stress measurements using ultraviolet micro-Raman spectroscopy", *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 75, pp. 2450–2451, 1999.
- [5] H. M. Przewłocki, "The importance, the nature and the measurements methods of the  $\phi_{MS}$  factor in MOS structures", *Electron Technol.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 27–42, 1994.



Lech Kazimierz Borowicz was born in Troki near Vilnus in 1934. He received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in electron technology in 1957 and 1967, respectively. He worked in five research institutes as Assistant Professor, as well as in industry, where he was involved in the design of equipment for spectral measurements. In 2001 he

received a Silver Medal in the "Medicine and biotechnology" category at the International Exhibition of Inventions and Innovations for the retinometer – a laser device for estimation of potential visual acuity in the case of patients with cataract. He is the author and co-author of several tens of papers and other publications devoted to instrumental and physiological optics and optoelectronics, as well as organization and management of research.

Institute of Electron Technology Lotników av. 32/46 02-668 Warsaw, Poland

Andrzej Kudła, Henryk P. Przewłocki, Danuta Brzezińska – for biography, see this issue, p. 39.